## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties" <br> COMPARISON OF THE TEACHING METHODS AND THE PRESENTATION OF EACH COUNTRY REGARDING THE IT (COMPUTER) LESSON

(21 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent) The average evaluation of each question is presented as estimated in the following sheets

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.

## Average evaluation

1. GR 3,667
2. SP $\quad 3,286$
3. U.K 3,524
4. CY 3,238
5. TU 3,607

6. Course content met its objectives.

## Average evaluation

1. GR 3,667
2. SP 3,524
3. U.K 3,714
4. CY 3,429
5. TU 3,429

6. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).

Average evaluation

| 1. GR | 3,619 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. SP | 3,333 |
| 3. U.K | 3,524 |
| 4. CY | 3,429 |
| 5. TU | 3,524 |


4. The information provided was easy to understand.

## Average evaluation

| 1. GR | 3,619 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. SP | 3,238 |
| 3. U.K | 3,619 |
| 4. CY | 3,333 |
| 5. TU | 3,333 |


5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.

6. The presenter seemed well prepared.

Average evaluation

1. GR 3,714
2. SP 3,714
3. U.K 3,529
4. CY 3,571
5. TU 3,667


## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties" EVALUATION OF THE I T(COMPUTER)LESSON TAUGHT BY THE GREEK TEACHER (21 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.

| PERSONS |  | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 15 | 60 |
| AVERAGE | 3,666667 |  |

2. Course content met its objectives.

| PERSONS |  | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 15 | 60 |
| AVERAGE | 3,666667 |  |

3. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).

| PERSONS |  | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 15 | 60 |
| AVERAGE | 3,619048 |  |

4. The information provided was easy to understand.

| PERSONS |  | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
| AVERAGE | 3,619048 |  |

5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.

PERSONS

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
| AVERAGE | 3,619048 |  |

AVERAGE
3,619048
6. The presenter seemed well prepared.

## PERSONS

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 12 |
| 4 | 16 | 64 |
| AVERAGE | 3,714286 |  |

## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties" EVALUATION OF THE I T (COMPUTER) LESSON BY THE BRITISH TEACHER (21 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.


| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 13 | 52 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,5238 |

2. Course content met its objectives.


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 15 | 60 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,7143 |

3. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 13 | 52 |
| MO |  | 3,5238 |

4. The information provided w AVERAGE
$\square$

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,619 |

5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.


| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 4 | 12 |
| 4 | 13 | 52 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,381 |

6. The presenter seemed well prepared.


| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,5238 |

## ERASMUS +

" Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties"
EVALUATION OF THE I T (COMPUTER) LESSON BY THE TURKISH TEACHER (21 persons participated in the evaluation,where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.

## PERSONS 28

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 19 | 76 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,6071 |

2. Course content met its objectives.
PERSONS 21

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 | 11 | 44 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,4286 |

3. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).
```
PERSONS 21
```

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 13 | 52 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,5238 |

4. The information provided was easy to understand.
```
PERSONS 21
```

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,3333 |

5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.

## PERSONS 21

| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 11 | 44 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,1905 |

6. The presenter seemed well prepared.


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,6667 |

## ERASMUS +

" Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties"
EVALUATION OF THE I T (COMPUTER) LESSON BY THE CYPRIOT TEACHER
(21 persons participated in the evaluation,where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.

PERSONS 21

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 9 | 36 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,2381 |

2. Course content met its objectives.

## PERSONS 21

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 | 11 | 44 |
| AVERAGE | 3,4286 |  |

3. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).

## PERSONS 21

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 10 | 30 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
| AVERAGE | 3,4286 |  |

4. The information provided was easy to understand.


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 10 | 30 |
| 4 | 9 | 36 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,3333 |

5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
| AVERAGE | 3,381 |  |

6. The presenter seemed well prepared.


| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 14 | 56 |
| AVERAGE | 3,5714 |  |

## ERASMUS +

" Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties"
EVALUATION OF THE IT (COMPUTER) LESSON BY THE SPANISH TEACHER
(21 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. Content was presented clearly and effectively.

PERSONS 21

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
|  | AVERAGE | 3,2857 |

2. Course content met its objectives.

| PERSONS | 21 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 21 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 13 | 52 |
| AVERAGE | 3,5238 |  |

3. Good use of visual materials (i.e Power Point, Handouts).
```
PERSONS 21
```

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 12 | 36 |
| 4 | 8 | 32 |
| AVERAGE | 3,3333 |  |

4. The information provided was easy to understand.


| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 10 | 30 |
| 4 | 8 | 32 |
| AVERAGE | 3,2381 |  |

5. The information provided will help improve my teaching/learning.

PERSONS 21

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 11 | 44 |
| AVERAGE | 3,4762 |  |

6. The presenter seemed well prepared.

PERSONS 21

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 15 | 60 |
| AVERAGE | 3,7143 |  |

