## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for student with learning difficulties" <br> EVALUATION OF THE ORGANIZATION (KILKIS-GREECE) <br> (21 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. The program for the trip was distributed in time.

2. There was a good balance between work program and cultural program/spare time

3. Planned activities occured on time.

| PERSONS | 21 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 14 | 42 |
| 4 | 6 | 24 |
| AVERAGE |  | 3,2381 |


4. The program was interesting and instructive.

## PERSONS

1
2
3
4
AVERAGE
$\square 21$
$0 \quad 0$
$0 \quad 0$

| 2 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 76 |

$19 \quad 76$
3,90476

5. The food was good and healthy, taking into account everyone's special preferences.

6. I have learned more about life and school in the visited country.


## ERASMUS +

" Equal educational oppurtunities for dtudent with learning difficulties"

## EVALUATION OF THE ORGANIZATION (NICOSIA-CYPRUS)

(17 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. The program for the trip was distributed in time.

2. There was a good balance between work program and cultural program/spare time

3. Planned activities occured on time.

| PERSONS |  | 17 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 17 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
| AVERAGE | 3,5882 |  |


4. The program was interesting and instructive.

| PERSONS | 17 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 10 | 30 |
| 4 | 7 | 28 |
| AVERAGE | 3,4118 |  |


5. The food was good and healthy, taking into account everyone's special preferences.

6. I have learned more about life and school in the visited country.

| PERSONS | 17 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 17 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 8 | 32 |

AVERAGE 3,4706


## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for dtudent with learning difficulties" <br> EVALUATION OF THE ORGANIZATION (LONDON-U,K) <br> (16 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, 2=average, 3=good, 4=excellent)

1. The program for the trip was distributed in time.

| PERSONS | 16 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 | 7 | 28 |
| AVERAGE |  | 3,375 |


2. There was a good balance between work program and cultural program/spare time

| PERSONS |  | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 | 8 | 32 |
| AVERAGE |  | 3,5 |


3. Planned activities occured on time.

| PERSONS | 16 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 4 | 12 | 48 |
| AVERAGE |  | 3,6875 |


4. The program was interesting and instructive.

5. The food was good and healthy, taking into account everyone's special preferences.

6. I have learned more about life and school in the visited country.

| PERSONS | 16 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 16 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
| AVERAGE | 3,625 |  |



## ERASMUS +

## " Equal educational oppurtunities for dtudent with learning difficulties" EVALUATION OF THE ORGANIZATION (KONYA-TURKEY) <br> (14 persons participated in the evaluation, where 1=poor, $2=$ average, $3=$ good, $4=$ excellent)

1. The program for the trip was distributed in time.

2. There was a good balance between work program and cultural program/spare time

3. Planned activities occured on time.

| PERSONS | 14 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 14 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | 9 | 36 |
| AVERAGE | 3,6429 |  |


4. The program was interesting and instructive.

5. The food was good and healthy, taking into account everyone's special preferences.

| PERSONS | 14 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 12 |
| 4 | 10 | 40 |
| AVERAGE |  | 3,7143 |


6. I have learned more about life and school in the visited country.


